

Stakeholders' Feedback

(A.Y.2021-2022)

Vidyalankar Institute of Technology (Affliated to University of Mumbai)



Table of Contents

Sr. No.	Document Title	Contents
1.	Feedback Samples	 Student sample Teacher sample Alumni sample Parent feedback
2.	Feedback Analysis Reports	 Information Technology Computer Engineering Electronics Engineering Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Biomedical Engineering Master of Management Studies
3.	Action Taken Reports	 Information Technology Computer Engineering Electronics Engineering Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering Biomedical Engineering Master of Management Studies



Feedback Sample

Department of Information Technology: Student Feedback on Curriculum and other aspects: A.Y.2021-2022

Note:

- 1. Your valuable feedback for the A.Y.2021-2022 (Current and previous SEM) will help us to improve academic and administrative processes / systems of the institute. 2.You are requested to give sincere, honest and sensible response.
- 3. Please rate on the scale 0 to 4

(4: Excellent 3: Good 2: Satisfactory 1: Not Quite Satisfactory 0: Poor)

* R	equired	
1	No see of Other Land	
1.	Name of Student *	
		-
0	D 1111 *	
2.	Roll No *	
		-
3.	Email ID *	
٥.	LinaiiiD	
		-
4.	Division *	
	Mark only one oval.	
	A	
	В	
	С	

A. Feedback on Curriculum

5.

Mark only one oval per row.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Not quite satisfactory	Poor
Ratte tthe rrellevance of syllllabus tto rrecentt IIndusttrriiall devellopmentts					
Ratte usefullness of courrse conttentts forr enhanciing anallyttiicall and ttechniicall skiilllls of sttudentts					
Ratte usefullness of tthe courrse conttentts tto sttudentts forr purrsuiing hiigherr sttudiies					
Ratte usefullness of syllllabus tto sttudentts forr upgrradiing ttheiirr harrdwarre and softtwarre skiillls tto cope up wiitth advanced ttoolls /siimullattorrs used iin tthe lindusttry					
Ratte usefullness of currriicullum tto sttudentts forr appllyiing ttheiirr knowlledge tto assess sociiettall, healltth and safetty iissues					
Ratte tthe rrellevance of skiilllsett and					

knowlledge

acquiirred durriing		
finall yearr prrojjectt		
iimpllementtattiion		

B. Feedback on other aspects

6.

Mark only one oval per row.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Not quite satisfactory	Poor
Ratte pllacementt and carreerr opporrttuniittiies prroviided by tthe IInsttiittutte					
Cllassrrooms and Laborrattorry Ilnfrrasttrructturre					
Liibrrarry and rreadiing rroom faciilliitty					
IInttermett and Wii-Fii faciilliitty					
Cantteen Faciilliitty					
Sporrtts and Culltturrall Acttiiviittiies					
Ilnsttiittutte"s overrallil iinfrrasttrructturre					

C. Remarks/Suggestions

8.	2.Any other	Remark/Suggestion	
9.	D. Would yo	u like to recommend Vidyalankar Institute Technology to other stud	dents *
9.			dents *
9.	(Yes / No)		dents 7
9.	(Yes / No) Mark only o	ne oval.	dents *
9. 10.	(Yes / No) Mark only o Yes No	ne oval. Skip to question 10	dents ³
	(Yes / No) Mark only o Yes No	ne oval. Skip to question 10 Skip to question 11	dents *

11.	Reason for Non-Recommendation

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Department of Information Technology: Teacher Feedback on Curriculum and other aspects: 2021-2022

Note:

- 1. Your valuable feedback will help us to improve the academic and administrative processes / systems of the institute.
- 2. You are requested to give sincere, honest and sensible response
- 3. Please rate on the scale 0 to 4(4: Excellent 3: Good 2: Satisfactory 1: Not Quite Satisfactory 0: Poor)

× ₩	חםי	ш	ired	
- 11	CU	u	II EU	

- 1. Name of Faculty *
- Total Experience (Yrs) *

A. Feedback on Curriculum

3.

Mark only one oval per row.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Not quite satisfactory	Poor
Ratte tthe rrellevance of syllllabus tto rrecentt IIndusttrriiall devellopmentts					
Ratte usefullness of courrse conttentts forr enhanciing anallyttiicall and ttechniicall skiillls of sttudentts					
Ratte usefullness of tthe courrse conttentts tto sttudentts forr purrsuiing hiigherr sttudiies					
Ratte usefullness of syllllabus tto sttudentts forr upgrradiing theiirr harrdwarre and softtwarre skiilllls tto cope up wiitth advanced ttoolls /siimullattorrs used iin the lindustrry.					
Ratte usefullness of currriicullum tto sttudentts forr appllyiing ttheiirr knowlledge tto assess sociiettall,, healltth and safetty iissues					

B. Remarks/Suggestions

4.	4. Remarks/Suggestions for Curriculum enrichment			

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Department of Information Technology: Alumni Feedback on Curriculum and other aspects: 2021-2022

Note:

- 1. Your valuable feedback will help us to improve the academic and administrative processes / systems of the institute.
- 2. You are requested to give sincere, honest and sensible response
- 3 Please rate on the scale 0 to 4

•	o. I icase rate o	ii tiic scale c	710 -		
((4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Satisfactory	1: Not Quite Satisfactory	0: Poor)

* Re	* Required					
1.	Name *					
2.	Year of Graduation *					
3.	Email ID *					
4.	Contact No *					
	Job Profile					
5.	Name of Organisation					

6.	Designation		
7.	Year of Joining		

A. Feedback on Curriculum

8.

Mark only one oval per row.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	satisfactory	Poor
Ratte tthe rrellevance of syllllabus tto rrecentt lindusttrriiall devellopmentts					
Ratte tthe rrellevance of currriicullum wiitth rrespectt tto yourr currrentt Job prrofille					
How wellll you have acquiirred knowlledge, skiilllls and abiilliittiies whiich hellped you tto cope up wiitth advanced ttoolls /siimullattorrs used iin tthe Ilndusttry?					
Ratte tthe rrellevance of skiilllsett and knowlledge acquiirred durriing finall yearr prrojjectt iimpllementtattiion					
Ratte tthe usefullness of currrriicullum forr appllyiing yourr knowlledge tto assess sociiettall,, healltth and safetty iissues					
How do you applly rresearrch-based knowlledge and rresearrch metthods tto crreatte					

rresourrces,, and moderrn engiineerriing prroductts?

B.	Remarks	/ Suac	restion
	. Commande	,	,000.01

).	Remarks/suggestions on curriculum enrichment
10.	How would you like to contribute for development of the Institute?
	Untitled Section
1.	Would you recommend Vidyalankar Institute of Technology to other students?
	Mark only one oval.
	Yes Skip to question 12 NO Skip to question 13

Untitled Section

Skip to question 12

12.	Reason for Recommendation
	Untitled Section
13.	Reason for Non-Recommendation

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Department of Information Technology: Parent Feedback on Curriculum and other aspects: 2021-2022

NІ		
N	$\Delta T\Delta$	•
ıv		٠.

Note:				
1. Your valuable	e feedback f	or the A.Y.2021-202	2(Current and previous SEM)	will help us
to improve the	academic ar	nd administrative pro	ocesses / systems of the insti	tute. 2.You
are requested t	o give since	re, honest and sens	sible response	
3. Please rate of	on the scale	0 to 4		
(4: Excellent	3: Good	2: Satisfactory	1: Not Quite Satisfactory	0: Poor
)				

* Re	quired	
1.	Name of Parent *	
2.	Profession *	
3.	Email ID *	
4.	Contact No *	
5.	Name of Student *	
6.	Roll No *	

Passing Batch *					
Mark only one oval.					
2024 2023 2022					
A. Feedback on 0	Curriculum				
*					
Mark only one oval per	row.				
	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Not quite satisfactory	Poor
Ratte uniiverrsiitty syllllabus tto rrecentt iindusttrriiall devellopmentts					
Ratte usefullness of currriicullum forr appllyiing sttudentts" knowlledge tto assess sociiettall,, healltth and safetty iissues					
Ratte Insttiittutte"s effortt forr effecttiive currrriicullum delliiverry by onlliine mode?					

9.	Remarks/Suggestions for overall development of Institute						

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms



Programme: Information Technology

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 46

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not quite Satisf actor y (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Pron	notor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	3	4	10	19	10	47.82
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	3	3	8	22	10	56.52
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	1	6	10	19	10	47.82
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up withadvanced tools /simulators used in the Industry.	2	6	10	18	10	43.47
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	1	5	9	21	10	54.34
6	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation	2	5	8	18	13	52.17

- **1. Parameter No 1**: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.
- **2. Parameter No 2**: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.
- **3. Parameter No 3**: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.
- **4. Parameter No 4**: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry.
- **5. Parameter No 5**: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.
- **6. Parameter No 6**: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.



Programme: Information Technology

2. Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 20

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poo r (0)	Not Quite Satisfacto ry(1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		D	etractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	0	1	1	13	5	85
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technicalskills of students.	0	1	1	12	6	85
3	Rate usefulness of thecourse contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	0	0	5	12	3	75
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry.	0	0	3	11	6	85
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, healthand safety issues.	0	0	3	16	1	85

- **1. Parameter No 1:** All the faculties are satisfied with the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** Almost all the faculties are satisfied with the usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** A good number of faculties are satisfied with the usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** Almost all the faculties are satisfied with the usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** Almost all the faculties are satisfied with the usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.



Programme: Information Technology

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response:132

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Qu Satisf ctory (1)	a ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excell ent (4)	NPS
		Detra	actor	Passive	Prom	otor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	2	4	21	63	42	75
2	Rate the relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Job profile.	5	6	25	53	43	64.3
3	How well you have acquired knowledge, skills and abilities which helped you to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry?	2	4	18	66	42	77.2
4	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during Final year project implementation.	1	6	13	53	59	79.5
5	Rate the usefulness of curriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	2	3	22	64	41	75.7
6	How do you apply research- based knowledge and research methods to create resources, and modern engineering products?	2	5	14	65	46	78.7

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by students to above Parameters.

- **1. Parameter No 1**: Most of the alumni are satisfied with the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on the relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Job profile.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Most of the alumni are satisfied with the way they have acquired knowledge, skills and abilities which helped you to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** Most of the alumni are satisfied with the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** Most of the alumni are satisfied with the usefulness of curriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.
- **6. Parameter No 6:** Most of the alumni are satisfied with the way to apply research-based knowledge and research methods to create resources, and modern engineering products

Vidyalankar Institute of Technology | NAAC Stakeholders Feedback Analysis |



Programme: Information Technology

4. Parents Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 36

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor Not Quite Satisfactory (0) (1)		Satisfactory Good (2) (3)		Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate university syllabus to recent industrial developments.	2	0	9	18	7	63.88
2	Rate usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	2	0	7	21	6	69.44
3	Rate Institute's effort for effective curriculum delivery by online mode?	1	0	7	20	8	75

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by students to above Parameters.

- 1. Parameter No 1: Almost all the parents are satisfied with university syllabus to recent industrial developments.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** As NPS score is less than 70%, we need to work on usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Most of the parents are satisfied with Institute's effort for effective curriculum delivery by online mode.

Head of the Department

Director, IQAC

Programme: Computer Engineering

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 95

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not quite Satisf actory (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recentindustrial developments.	1	7	13	34	40	70
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skillsof students.	1	2	15	28	47	76
3	Rate usefulness of the course contentsto students for pursuing higher studies.	1	1	15	32	46	80
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry.	3	9	12	28	43	62
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	1	5	12	23	35	55
6	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation	1	4	7	23	41	62

- **1. Parameter No 1**: NPS greater than 70 students are satisfied.
- 2. Parameter No 2: NPS greater than 70 students are satisfied.
- 3. Parameter No 3: NPS greater than 70 students are satisfied
- **4. Parameter No 4**: NPS of usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry is low than 70 so it can be improved by Increase the number of problem-based learning experiments, more emphasis on project-based learning, Upgrading laboratories with open-source tools.
- **5. Parameter No 5**: The NPS of usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues is less than 70 so it can be improvised by communication with board of studies.
- **6. Parameter No 6**: The NPS of relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation is less than 70 which can be improved by introducing value added courses and involvement of mentoring from industry.

Programme: Computer Engineering

2. Faculty Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: **19** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

	. or response. 19		INPS Threshold for the year 2022. 70				
Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor Not Quite Satisfactory (0) (1)		Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	0	0	2	8	9	89
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	0	0	0	8	11	100
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	0	0	0	8	11	100
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry.	0	0	0	7	12	100
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	0	0	8	11	100

NPS is above threshold for all parameters



Programme: Computer Engineering

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response:44 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

	or response.				Corrora for tr		
Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfa	Satisfact ory	Good (3)	Excelle nt	NPS
		,	ctory (1)	(2)	,	(4)	
		Detra	actor	Passive	Promo	otor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	2	2	8	25	7	63
2	Rate the relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Job profile.	2	3	14	15	10	45.44
3	How well you have acquired knowledge, skills and abilities which helped you to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry?	2	0	8	20	14	73
4	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.	1	1	7	23	12	75
5	Rate the usefulness of curriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	2	3	7	20	12	61
6	How do you apply research- based knowledge and research methods to create resources, and modern engineering products?	1	1	8	22	12	73

- **1. Parameter No 1**: The NPS of relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments is less than 70 which can be improved by discussion with board of studies.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** The NPS of relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Job profile is less than 70 which can be improved by having more BSA activities.
- 3. Parameter No 3: NPS greater than 70 alumni are satisfied
- 4. Parameter No 4: NPS greater than 70 teachers are satisfied
- **5. Parameter No 5:** The NPS of usefulness of curriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues is less than 70 which can be improved by discussion with BOS.
- 6. Parameter No 6 NPS greater than 70 teachers are satisfied

Academic Year: (2021-22)
Programme: Computer Engineering

4. Parent Feedback on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 56

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

i Otai i vo.	or response. 30	NF3 Tilleshold for the year 2022. 10						
Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfactory (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good Excellent (3) (4)		NPS	
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor		
1	Rate university syllabus to recent industrial developments.	0	5	10	17	23	62.5	
2	Rate usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	5	6	22	23	71.43	
3	Rate Institute's effort for effective curriculum delivery by online mode?	0	0	6	21	29	89.2	

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by students to above Parameters.

- **1. Parameter No 1:** The NPS of university syllabus to recent industrial developments is less than 70 which can be improved by educating students about recruitment process.
- 2. Parameter No 2: NPS greater than 70 parents are satisfied

3. Parameter No 3: NPS greater than 70 parents are satisfied

Head of the Department

Director, IQAC



Academic Year : (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: **57** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not quite Satisfac- tory (1)	Satisfa- ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	3	5	12	28	9	50.88
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	1	5	12	29	10	57.89
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	1	2	12	28	14	68.42
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the industry.	3	4	12	27	11	54.39
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	2	5	11	27	12	56.14
6	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation	1	4	8	25	19	68.42

- **1. Parameter No 1**: Electronics engineering is a core branch, and many subjects are based on fundamental knowledge. The curriculum is designed by University of Mumbai, so being affiliated institute to UoM we have little scope to change or modify the curriculum.
- **2. Parameter No 2**: As per the curriculum designed by UoM, there are few subjects that are purely analytical and targeting basic technical skills.
- **3. Parameter No 3**: To balance the technical, and analytical skills, optimum number of subjects are included in the curriculum to pursue higher education
- **4. Parameter No 4**: Use of open-source software/hardware tools needs to be incorporated in laboratory work extensively. Problem based learning experiments should be included in the lab work as a regular practice.
- **5. Parameter No 5**: We should encourage the students to take up projects in the healthcare sector as well as the projects addressing societal, and safety issues.
- **6. Parameter No 6**: The time given for final year project work is not sufficient so the final year projects can be started in semester five as a mini project and same can be extended till semester eight so that students will get sufficient time to work on project ideas and implement it.



Academic Year : (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

2. Teacher Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 17

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfactory (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	0	1	3	9	4	70.59
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	0	0	5	8	4	70.59
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	0	0	3	8	6	82.35
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry.	0	1	2	9	5	76.47
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	1	2	8	6	76.47

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by students to above Parameters.

NPS is above threshold for all parameters



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

3. Alumnus Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 80

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisf actory (1)	Satisfactory(2) Passive	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	1	6	17	32	24	61.25
2	Rate the relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Jobprofile.	3	9	13	35	20	53.75
3	How well you have acquired knowledge, skills and abilities which helped you to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry?	1	7	13	34	25	63.75
4	Rate the relevance of skillsetand knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.	2	6	9	31	32	68.75
5	Rate the usefulness ofcurriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	1	6	14	33	26	65.00
6	How do you apply research- based knowledge and research methodsto create resources, and modern engineering products?	1	7	12	32	28	65.00

- 1. Parameter No 1: Electronics engineering is a core branch, and many subjects are based on fundamental knowledge. The curriculum is designed by University of Mumbai, so being affiliated institute to UoM we have little scope to change or modify the curriculum.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** The curriculum is designed by University of Mumbai, which mainly focusses on core electronics engineering subjects however there are more placement opportunities in in IT sector.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Use of open-source software/hardware tools needs to be incorporated in laboratory work extensively. Problem based learning experiments should be included in the lab work as a regular practice.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** The time given for final year project work is not sufficient so the final year projects can be started in semester five as a mini project and same can be extended till semester eight so that students will get sufficient time to work on project ideas and implement it.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** We should encourage the students to take up projects in the healthcare sector as well as the projects addressing societal, and safety issues.
- **6. Parameter No 6:** Increase interaction with industries and premium institutions like IITs' for final year projects in the research domain and convert it into product.



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

4. Parents Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: **26** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor Not Quite Satisfactory (0) (1)		Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate university syllabus to recent industrial developments.	1	1	7	12	5	57.69
2	Rate usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	1	9	11	5	57.69
3	Rate Institute's effort for effective curriculum delivery by online mode?	0	2	6	12	6	61.54

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by students to above Parameters.

- 1. Parameter No 1: Electronics engineering is a core branch, and many subjects are based on fundamental knowledge. The curriculum is designed by University of Mumbai, so being affiliated institute to UoM we have little scope to change or modify the curriculum.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** We should encourage the students to take up projects in the healthcare sector as well as the projects addressing societal, and safety issues.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Extensive use of ICT tools for content delivery is expected.

The second second

Head of the Department

Director, IQAC

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 70

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not quite Satisf actor y (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent						
1	Industrial developments.	2	5	18	27	18	54.29
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	1	2	21	29	17	61.43
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	0	2	13	36	19	75.71
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry.	1	4	14	33	18	65.71
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	1	3	17	30	19	64.29
6	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation	0	3	13	30	24	72.86

- **1. Parameter No 1**: NPS is below threshold. Syllabus should be more relevant to recent industrial development.
- 2. Parameter No 2: Course contents needs to be updated to enhance analytical and technical skills.
- **3. Parameter No 3**: NPS is above threshold. Almost all students are satisfactory with usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.
- 4. Parameter No 4: NPS is below threshold. Needs improvement.
- **5. Parameter No 5**: Curriculum should be more useful to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.
- **6. Parameter No 6**: Almost all students are satisfied with skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

2. Teachers Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 19

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfa ctory (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Pr	omotor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	2	0	4	8	5	57.89
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	0	2	1	12	4	73.68
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	0	2	0	9	8	78.95
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry.	1	1	2	10	5	68.42
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	2	3	10	4	63.16

- **1. Parameter No 1:** Syllabus need to be updated to make it more relevant to recent industrial developments.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** NPS is above threshold and most of the teachers agreed that course contents are useful for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Almost all the teachers agreed that course contents are useful for pursuing higher studies.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** NPS is below threshold.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** Curriculum need to be more useful to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 46 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfa ctory (1)	Satisfact ory (2)	Good (3)	Excell ent (4)	NPS
		Detractor		Passive	Promotor		
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	2	3	17	15	9	41.30
2	Rate the relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Job profile.	2	7	12	16	9	34.78
3	How well you have acquired knowledge, skills and abilities which helped you to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry?	1	3	16	15	11	47.83
4	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.	1	4	11	19	11	54.35
5	Rate the usefulness of curriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	1	3	14	17	11	52.17
6	How do you apply research- based knowledge and research methods to create resources, and modern engineering products?	1	1	12	21	11	65.22

- **1. Parameter No 1:** Syllabus needs to be updated considering the latest technologies adopted in the industries.
- 2. Parameter No 2: Syllabus needs to be reframed considering different Job profiles in the market.
- 3. Parameter No 3: More exposure to advanced tools and simulators is expected
- **4. Parameter No 4:** Most of the alumni have felt that necessary skillset has been acquired through final year project implementation.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** Curriculum need to be more useful to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.
- **6. Parameter No 6:** Most of the alumni have agreed that research-based knowledge and research methods can be used to create resources, and modern engineering products.

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

4. Parents Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 41

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfactory (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detractor		Passive	Promotor		
1	Rate university syllabus to recent industrial developments.	0	4	8	18	11	60.98
2	Rate usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	0	13	16	12	68.29
3	Rate Institute's effort for effective curriculum delivery by online mode?	0	1	9	20	11	73.17

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by students to above Parameters.

- **1. Parameter No 1:** NPS is below threshold. Syllabus should be more relevant to recent industrial development.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** Curriculum need to be more useful to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Almost all the parents appreciated Institute's effort for effective curriculum delivery by online mode

Head of the Department

Director, IQAC



Programme: Biomedical Engineering

1. Student Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 29 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not quite Satisf actor	Satisfa ctory	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
·		Detr	y (1) actor	(2) Passive	Pron	notor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	0	0	1	15	13	97
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	0	0	3	17	9	90
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	0	1	2	16	10	86
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry.	0	1	4	13	11	79
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	0	5	12	12	83
6	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation	0	0	2	14	13	93

- **1. Parameter No 1**: Students found the syllabus content was relevant to the needs for the industrial development.
- **2. Parameter No 2**: The course contents were appreciated by the students and helped in enriching the analytical and technical skills of students.
- 3. Parameter No 3: The course contents were helpful for students for pursing the higher studies.
- **4. Parameter No 4**: The students agreed, the syllabus content helped in using the upgraded hardware and software skills to learn and cope up with the advance tools and simulators used in industry.
- **5. Parameter No 5**: The application of knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues was found useful by the students.
- **6. Parameter No 6**: The NPS of the parameter is above 70. So the students have agreed the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.



Programme: Biomedical Engineering

2. Teachers Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 8 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfactory (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	0	0	1	5	2	88
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	0	0	1	3	4	88
3	Rate usefulness of the course contents to students for pursuing higher studies.	0	0	0	2	6	100
4	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for upgrading their hardware and software skills to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry.	0	0	1	3	4	88
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	0	0	5	3	100

- **1. Parameter No 1:** The students found the syllabus is relevant and up to date to recent Industrial developments.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** The students agreed that the course contents were useful to enhance their analytical and technical skills.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** The NPS of this parameter is 100. So the students have found the course contents useful for pursuing higher studies.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** The syllabus taught was at par and helped students to cope up in upgrading the hardware and software skills with advances tools and simulators used in industries.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** The application of knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues was found useful by the students.



Programme: Biomedical Engineering

1. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 19 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfa ctory (1)	Satisfact ory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Prom	otor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to recent Industrial developments.	0	2	3	3	11	63
2	Rate the relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Job profile.	1	2	3	3	10	53
3	How well you have acquired knowledge, skills and abilities which helped you to cope up with advanced tools /simulators used in the Industry?	2	2	2	3	10	47
4	Rate the relevance of skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation.	1	0	3	5	10	74
5	Rate the usefulness of curriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	1	1	6	11	84
6	How do you apply research- based knowledge and research methods to create resources, and modern engineering products?	0	0	3	5	11	84

- **1. Parameter No 1:** The alumni found the syllabus needs to be upgraded to meet the recent industrial developments
- **2. Parameter No 2:** The alumni feel the curriculum learned was not much relevant to their current job profile.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** The alumni did not agree that the knowledge, skills and abilities acquired by them helped to them to cope up with the advanced tools/simulators used in the industry.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** NPS of the parameter is above 70. So the alumni has agreed the skillset and knowledge acquired during final year project implementation was relevant.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** The alumni found the curriculum was useful for applying knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.
- **6. Parameter No 6:** The alumni could apply research-based knowledge and research methods to create resources, and modern engineering products and found it useful.



Programme: Biomedical Engineering

4. Parents Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 18 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfactory (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate university syllabus to recent industrial developments.	0	1	1	10	6	83
2	Rate usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	0	0	2	8	8	89
3	Rate Institute's effort for effective curriculum delivery by online mode?	0	0	0	10	8	100

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by parents to above Parameters.

- **1. Parameter No 1:** The NPS is above 70. The parents found the university syllabus is as per the recent industrial developments.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** The parents found the students were able to apply the knowledge from the curriculum to assess societal, health and safety issues
- **3. Parameter No 3:** The parents have agreed the institute has put best of the efforts to deliver the curriculum effectively by the online mode.

Banizal

Head of the Department



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

1. Students Feedback Analysis of on Curriculum

Total No. of response: **37** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not quite Satisf actor y (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Pron	notor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to applied functional areas of Management.	00	01	11	19	06	64.86
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	00	01	11	16	09	64.86
3	Rate usefulness of syllabus to students for Online Industry-Institute Interaction (Industrial Visits, Guest Lecture, Technical Sessions, Workshops etc	00	02	09	16	10	64.86
4	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	01	01	08	20	07	67.57

- **1. Parameter No 1**: Though majority students are satisfied with the syllabus the parameter is below NPS threshold
- **2. Parameter No 2**: The NPS is below threshold but students consider the usefulness of course contents satisfactory for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students
- **3. Parameter No 3**: The NPS is below threshold but students consider the usefulness of syllabus satisfactory for online Industry-Institute Interaction (Industrial Visits, Guest Lecture, Technical Sessions, Workshops etc.
- **4. Parameter No 4**: The NPS is below threshold but students consider the usefulness of curriculum satisfactory for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

2. Teachers Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: 15 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfactory (1)	Satisfactory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to the applied functional areas of Management	00	00	01	08	06	93.33
2	Rate usefulness of course contents for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students.	00	00	02	08	05	86.67
3	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for Online Industry-Institute Interaction (Industrial Visits, Guest Lecture, Technical Sessions, Workshops etc.	00	00	00	12	03	100
4	Is the curriculum designed to enhance student employability/ entrepreneurship.	00	00	03	09	03	80.00
5	Rate usefulness of curriculum to students for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues.	00	01	01	09	04	80.00

- **1. Parameter No 1:** All the teachers are satisfied with relevance of syllabus to syllabus to the applied functional areas of Management
- **2. Parameter No 2:** All the teachers rated usefulness of course contents satisfactory for enhancing analytical and technical skills of students
- **3. Parameter No 3:** All the teachers rated usefulness of course contents satisfactory to students for Online Industry-Institute Interaction (Industrial Visits, Guest Lecture, Technical Sessions, Workshops etc.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** All the teachers are satisfied that the syllabus is design to enhance student employability/ entrepreneurship
- **5. Parameter No 5:** All the faculty members rated usefulness of curriculum to students satisfactory for applying their knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: **19** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfa ctory (1)	Satisfact ory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Prom	otor	
1	How well you have acquired fundamentals of Management through the Curriculum?	00	00	01	13	05	94.7 4
2	Rate the relevance of syllabus to the applied functional areas of Management.	01	01	03	10	04	63.1 6
3	Rate the relevance of curriculum with respect to your current Job profile	01	01	03	09	05	63.1 6
4	Rate the relevance of Skills and Knowledge acquired during execution of Summer Internship Project & Final Year Project in the Industry	00	00	04	08	07	78.9 5
5	Rate the usefulness of curriculum for applying your knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues	01	00	02	13	03	78.9 5

- **1. Parameter No 1:** Majority of the alumni feel that they have acquired fundamentals of management through existing syllabus
- **2. Parameter No 2:** Majority of the alumni consider the syllabus is relevant to applied functional areas of management, yet the NPS is below the threshold
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Majority of the alumni consider that the syllabus is relevant to their current job profile, yet the NPS is below the threshold
- **4. Parameter No 4:** The alumni consider the syllabus is relevant with skills and knowledge acquired during execution of Summer Internship Project & Final Year Project
- **5. Parameter No 5:** The NPS is above threshold as all alumni rated the usefulness of curriculum satisfactory for applying knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

4. Parents Feedback Analysis on Curriculum

Total No. of response: **18** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfactory (1)	Satisfactory (2) Passive	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		De	tractor	rassive	Passive Promotor		
1	Rate the relevance of syllabus to the applied functional areas of Management.	00	00	02	14	02	88.89
2	Rate the Institute's efforts for effective curriculum delivery through online mode.	00	00	04	10	04	77.78
3	Rate usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues	00	00	03	12	03	83.33

Following inferences are drawn from the ratings given by students to above Parameters.

- **1. Parameter No 1:** All parents are satisfied with the relevance of syllabus to the applied functional areas of Management
- **2. Parameter No 2:** All the parents are satisfied with the Institute's efforts for effective curriculum delivery through online mode
- **3. Parameter No 3:** All the parents are satisfied with usefulness of curriculum for applying students' knowledge to assess societal, health and safety issues

Head of the Department



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Information Technology

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Total No. of response: 46 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfact ory (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Deti		1 455146			
1	Rate placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute	1	2	12	16	15	60.86
2	Classrooms and Laboratory Infrastructure	1	1	9	23	12	71.73
3	Library and reading room facility	2	1	6	24	13	73.91
4	Internet and Wi-Fi facility	1	5	9	20	11	54.34
5	Canteen Facility	1	3	10	18	14	60.86
6	Sports and Cultural Activities	1	2	11	20	12	63.04
7	Institute's overall infrastructure	0	4	9	19	14	63.04

- **1. Parameter No 1:** As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** Almost all the students are satisfied with Classrooms and Laboratory Infrastructure.
- 3. Parameter No 3: Almost all the students are satisfied with Library and reading room facility.
- 4. Parameter No 4: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on Internet and Wi-Fi facility
- 5. Parameter No 5: As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on Canteen Facility.
- **6. Parameter No 6:** As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on Sports and Cultural Activities.
- **7. Parameter No 7:** As NPS Score is less than 70%, we need to work on Institute's overall infrastructure.



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Information Technology

2. Teachers' Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for Curriculum enrichment

- **8.** The Syllabus should be updated as per requirements in Industry. There should be lab for every technical subject.
- **9.** The content design is appropriate.
- 10. Bridge / Value Added courses be included regularly to be in sync with industry.



Programme: Information Technology

3. Alumnus' Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

(i) Remarks/suggestions on curriculum enrichment

- 1. The current curriculum does include more of what is industry specific.
- 2. Very good Institute
- 3. No remarks, the curriculum and teachers were excellent. The only suggestion would be to encourage students to study DSA and leetcode for placement from SE.
- 4. Needs to be more practical knowledge and work oriented.
- 5. Curriculum should have more practical knowledge
- 6. Latest technologies must be included in curriculum
- 7. To have more practical workshops regarding upcoming technologies
- 8. More internships and industry exposure
- 9. Need to add more industry related tools in curriculum
- 10. More practical based approach needed

(ii) How would you like to contribute for development of the Institute?

- 1. I would love to take sessions on wealth management, personal finance, and overall Finance sector as per my expertise
- 2. By providing them clarity about the roles in my field and clearing their doubts as and when required if I can.
- 3. I am a Java developer, so if any guidance needed, I would like to help.
- 4. "If the college turns into an autonomous curriculum, then you can increase the hours of practical knowledge more than theory knowledge.
- 5. Help them guide in what areas they are good at.
- 6. Would like to visit and guide students about job searching and the difficulties I faced and how can they improve themselves better for the corporate world.
- 7. More in house internship opportunities for students
- 8. Seminar / workshop / talk on data and further studies
- 9. Can participate in coding workshops or seminars, research, or coding projects
- 10. Any way possible. Always up for offering mentorship, guidance, and possible internships.
- 11. I don't think there is any need for improvement. The Professors are amazing. They care about the students and are very helpful and supportive. They try their best to help studentsgain as much knowledge as possible. It is only with their guidance and support that I am in Silicon Valley today. I owe most of my career advancement to the Professors at VIT, Mumbai. They still guide me through various aspects of life.
- 12. I have experience of approximately 3yrs in Python and always there to help in any way possible.



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Information Technology

(iii) Would you recommend Vidyalankar Institute of Technology to other students?

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 132	Percentage
Yes	130	98.5%
No	2	1.5%

a) Reason for Recommendation

- 1. Nice environment throughout the college
- 2. Approach of Teaching faculty toward students
- 3. Supportiveness of staff
- 4. VIT has different ideology, and we are more practical than other institutes
- 5. Professors are very knowledgeable and helpful.
- 6. Great teachers, great infrastructure, comfortable and open environment to study and grow.
- 7. College life and placement
- 8. Teaching is up to mark. practicals are very useful. Faculty is very supportive and helpful. Very good campus opportunity.
- 9. Good college with excellent teachers
- 10. Co-operative faculties, awesome teaching learning method, good focus on extracurricular activities as well
- 11. "Great Placements
- 12. Experienced Staff
- 13. Technology Oriented Environment
- 14. Very good infrastructure and staff teaching is good as well. They are very supportive.
- 15. It has some of the best faculty, the students have a competitive healthy spirit and encourage each other to become better.
- 16. It's a good environment to study and helpful professors
- 17. Self-growth while you become an engineer.
- 18. Best faculty, more relevant to industry experience
- 19. Good teaching, infrastructure, and decent placement opportunities.
- 20. Great people, great faculties, great facilities

b) Reason for Non recommendation

1. Not worth the Tuition fees



Programme: Information Technology

4. Parents' Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for overall development of Institute

- 1. Highly Qualified and dedicated teachers of this VIT for students' development and motivation. Best wishes to VIT
- 2. Overall, it is very supportive and helpful.
- 3. Encourage students for practical skills
- 4. Would appreciate if you could start student exchange/transfer program with internationally renowned Universities
- 5. Students should get more knowledge about industrial level problems & teachers should guide with their solutions.
- 6. Institute can arrange various seminars and provide various other course related info by the alumni or the specialists in respective fields
- 7. Extra sessions or guidance sessions on the industry level skills or latest advancements/need
- 8. Regular parent-teachers management meet .
- 9. Future planning of student's carriers with parent participation and guidance .

Head of the Department

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Computer Engineering

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Total No. of response:95

NPS Threshold for the year 2022: 70

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfact ory (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Pio	motor	
1	Rate placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute	2	5	10	26	52	75
2	Classrooms and Laboratory Infrastructure	1	0	8	29	57	89
3	Library and reading room facility	2	2	7	31	53	84
4	Internet and Wi-Fi facility	4	5	14	27	45	66
5	Canteen Facility	1	3	8	30	34	63
6	Sports and Cultural Activities	2	2	9	26	37	62
7	Institute's overall infrastructure	1	0	7	18	50	70

- 1. Parameter No 1: NPS greater than 70 students are satisfied
- 2. Parameter No 2: NPS greater than 70 students are satisfied
- 3. Parameter No 3: NPS greater than 70 students are satisfied
- **4. Parameter No 4:** The NPS of Internet and Wi-Fi facility is less than 70 so measure can be taken to improve it by discussion with system and find the solution.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** The NPS of Canteen facility is less than 70 so measure can be taken to improve it by discussion with concerned people and find the solution.
- **6. Parameter No 6:** The NPS of Sports and Cultural activities is less than 70 so measure can be taken to improve it by discussion with concerned people and find the solution
- 7. Parameter No 7: NPS greater than 70 students are satisfied



Academic Year: (2021-22)
Programme: Computer Engineering

2. Teachers Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for Curriculum enrichment

- There must be rigor in evaluation.
- Focus should be more on practical skills

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

(i) Remarks/suggestions on curriculum enrichment

- Curriculum is really outdated and doesn't make any good to knowledge as per currentmarket standards.
- I feel that please collaborate with the industries and start the courses like B.E in Digital Transformation or DevOps etc.
- More practical and industrial project based curriculum should be applied
- New languages with actual industry scenario and practical should be more
- Curriculum should be more relevant as per industrial need, more focus should be on practical.
- Industrial training should be mandatory as part of syllabus, so student will be more groomed.
- Suggestion- Frequent Industrial Visit
- Students need to exposure to practical and real life problem statements and the need for solution based thinking is a must
- Trending tools and languages(d365, .net, etc) needs to be incorporated in activities even if it is a very basic level for a fresher to take the leap into the corporate world
- Should check the latest trend in the industry
- I can only comment based on the curriculum I had gone through in during my BE CMPN days. Without any reference to what the current curriculum looks like, its difficult to provide any useful remarks/suggestions.
- More practical and world-based approach on curriculum

(ii) How would you like to contribute for development of the Institute?

- Introduce techs like Python, Stats, Machine Learning.
- I can be a part of syllabus design committee, my forte is research so I would like to give lectures /FDP/STTP on research papers, Review Process etc
- By giving seminars and workshops on technologies used in industrial projects
- Institute did it best for every individual. No suggestion for institute as such
- Would like to be a part of alumni programs if any
- Can help check the curriculum
- This question is too broad. Moreover, without setting a context, its difficult to respond. I feel the institute should first identify the main bottleneck factors that needs attention from Alumni and share the same with us. Based on that, I can assess and think of how I personally can meaningfully contribute.
- By conducting workshops, seminars



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Computer Engineering

(iii) Would you recommend Vidyalankar Institute of Technology to other students?

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of_44	Percentage
Yes	40	90.9
No	2	4.5

a) List the reason for recommendation

- Great infra, Good Profs, AC rooms, Better Engineering life, fests.
- VIT is the best college, best for placement, teaching staff is also good.
- Teaching quality, staff helpfulness, campus maintenance, placement
- Faculty, college infrastructure, college facilities
- Best teachers with good skills
- Professor and department focus on individual development, my all development is because of the institute.
- Excellent Teachers
- Teaching is very good and very good facilities for students
- Because of the teaching system and the environment
- Environmental of college campus, user friendly behaviour of staff & mentors, it gives lack of knowledge. I thought it's Best college in Mumbai...
- Good Faculty, great facilities, great opportunities, healthy and flourishing study environment, good resources, great campus
- Very knowledgeable faculty, practical knowledge gained through mini projects and the freedom to explore topics beyond the curriculum
- Friendly and qualified faculty, Infrastructure and good technical events
- The professors have been helpful in guiding the students through the curriculum
- The overall environment at Vidyalankar is great. Some of the best professors a student can get. Vidyalankar provides a perfect mix for extra curricular and co-curricular opportunities .
- Good teaching and infrastructure
- Teachers are good
- Good College to be IN
- I did not answer the previous question because it was too broad. To certain students who fit well into the culture and eco-system, I would recommend.
- Teaching skills, college discipline, placement
- Best faculty for teaching and Campus placement
- Quality of teaching, scope of placement, exposure to co curricular activities, staff behaviour, infrastructure wellness
- We have the best professors who guide us through everything. We have the best infrastructure and library. A great placement cell as well
- Great teachers having thorough knowledge of their domain, supportive staff, good amenities and infrastructure.

b) List the reason for non-recommendation

No specific remark



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Computer Engineering

3. Parents Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for overall development of Institute

- •It will be great to make the inhouse councellor available for all working days.
- Expect more of the top end companies to come for on campus recruitment
- •Spread awareness about off campus job opportunities.
- Vidyalankar is a good institute for Engineering studies
- •Good

• Bring Good Companies.

Head of the Department

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

Students Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

B. Feedback on other aspects

Total No. of response: **57** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfac- tory (1) tractor	Satisfactory (2) Passive	Good (3) Pro	Excellent (4) omotor	NPS
1	Rate placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute	1	4	11	23	18	63.16
2	Classrooms and Laboratory Infrastructure	0	3	11	26	17	70.18
3	Library and reading room facility	0	2	12	23	20	71.93
4	Internet and Wi-Fi facility	1	9	12	21	14	43.86
5	Canteen Facility	5	4	16	18	14	40.35
6	Sports and Cultural Activities	2	5	18	18	14	43.86
7	Institute's overall infrastructure	0	3	10	18	26	71.93

- 1. Parameter No 1: The core companies in the field of electronics are less in number. The curriculum designed by UoM is oriented towards fundamentals of electronics engineering. So additional efforts are required to groom the students for placement and higher studies.
- 2. Parameter No 2: Adequate infrastructure facilities in the form of classrooms and laboratories are available, however, modernization of laboratories is needed to cope with the recent trends and technologies.
- **3. Parameter No 3:** Adequate infrastructure, titles, and volumes as well as digital contents are available in the library.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** Wi-Fi facility is recently upgraded with the speed of 500 MBPS
- **5. Parameter No 5:** Suggestions for improvement of food quality and service in the canteen have been communicated to higher authorities
- 6. Parameter No 6: Adequate infrastructure for sports and cultural activities is provided
- **7. Parameter No 7:** Overall infrastructure facilities provided by the Institute in the form of classrooms, laboratories, library, auditorium, sports den, and playground are adequate.



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

2. Teachers Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for Curriculum enrichment

- More Practical & Project oriented approach is required. Emphasis on programming languages used to program Hardware or development boards must be emphasized.
- Theory taught should be 20% and Experimentation and Projects should span 80% of the curriculum.
- Industry oriented VAC
- More PBLE
- Guest lecturer from successful alumni
- Improve industry academia relations
- Industrial Internship should be compulsory part of the Curriculum.
- More stress should give on skill set development



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

(i) Remarks/suggestions on curriculum enrichment

- Industrial Collaboration is a must.
- Please try to send students to more and more internship
- Curriculum should be designed with the help of modern and relevant industry experts too instead of just academia people
- Electronics/ electronics and telecommunication branches should have more subjects related to coding
- Include industry-based trainings and hands on courses
- Some computer science concepts should be taught to all branches which will help us in placement

(ii) How would you like to contribute to the development of the Institute?

- Anything that is required from my end like mentorship or curriculum tweaking
- Bootcamp on code contribution to open-source or new technology

(iii) Would you recommend Vidyalankar Institute of Technology to other students?

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 80	Percentage
Yes	79	98.75
No	01	1.25

a) List the reason for recommendation

- Teaching staff is supportive and knowledgeable
- Institute has conducive environment for learning and growth
- It has good infrastructure and library
- Great learning environment
- Quality of education is brilliant at Vidyalankar institute. Faculties and mentors provide excellent guidance.
- Respect for student opinion, prompt changes wherever required, feedback valued
- Teaching standard and flexibility to learn skills

b) List the reason for non-recommendation

• Fee's structure is hardly affordable to middle class candidates.



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

4. Parents Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for overall development of Institute Proper notes should be provided.

Head of the Department

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

B. Feedback on other aspects

Total No. of response: **70** NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor	Not Quite	Satisfa ctory	Good	Excellent	
		(0)	Satisfact ory (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Pro	omotor	
1	Rate placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute	0	1	11	26	32	81.43
2	Classrooms and Laboratory Infrastructure	0	0	7	23	40	90.00
3	Library and reading room facility	0	2	8	30	30	82.86
4	Internet and Wi-Fi facility	1	6	12	30	21	62.86
5	Canteen Facility	1	2	16	32	19	68.57
6	Sports and Cultural Activities	0	1	10	30	29	82.86
7	Institute's overall infrastructure	0	0	6	21	43	91.43

- **1. Parameter No 1:** Almost all students are satisfied with placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute.
- 2. Parameter No 2: All the students are satisfied with Classrooms and Laboratory Infrastructure.
- 3. Parameter No 3 Almost all students are satisfied with Library and reading room facility.
- 4. Parameter No 4: Needs improvement in Internet and Wi-Fi facility.
- 5. Parameter No 5: Needs improvement in canteen facility.
- 6. Parameter No 6: Almost all the students are satisfied with Sports and Cultural Activities.
- 7. Parameter No 7: All the students are satisfied with Institute's overall infrastructure.



2.

PART B: Stakeholders Feedback on Other Aspects

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

Teachers Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for Curriculum enrichment

- 1) Curriculum must be set up by detailed and thorough requirement studies of core industries and integration of exact industrial inputs after ensuring sufficient capabilities of industrial expertise among the faculty members. This development is a continuous process and can be only achieved successfully after several iterations.
- 2) More practical work experience is missing in the syllabus.
- 3) Addition of value added courses.
- 4) Looking at the current technology trends and industry requirements, elective technical subjects should have hands on practical sessions.
- 5) More weightage of examinations should be based on hands on practical sessions.
- 6) Add more CAD tools as a part of curriculum and more lab work required.



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

(i) Remarks/suggestions on curriculum enrichment

- 1) To have more practical based sessions
- 2) I would suggest the curriculum or syllabus should be focused more on practical knowledge along with theory. Also, how to use that concept in real life scenarios.
- 3) As Vidyalankar is already following with the new advancements as per its industry we can more add not only for development but for other roles too.
- 4) Need to update the syllabus according to the trends in market rather than spending entire semester on outdated devices technologies
- 5) FREE certification courses should be offered to the students so that they will be able to understand about things happening in industry and this will also help them to enhance their resume
- 6) The curriculum needs to more skillset acquisition related rather than gaining academic marks need to focus more on internship and industry experience
- 7) Involve more practical aspects
- 8) Whole syllabus needs to be reviewed. Engineer degrees is just for name sake, current IT skills need to be learnt after engineering. There is zero use of syllabus in real life work.
- 9) More practical knowledge of the Engineering subjects.
- 10) Use latest softwares and hardware that can be beneficial to students, such as FPGA or ARM processor based boards.
- 11) Make it more industry oriented
- 12) Need to update syllabus with real industrial knowledge and practical.
- 13) curriculum enrichment needs to be developed and made more up to date
- 14) Practical skill based evaluation of knowledge of students, Improvement of skills of student in trending designs Softwares, Technology, etc. Curriculum must include Industrial training/Internship compulsory which will help students to get industrial exposure and will help them to choose the domain of their interest.
- 15) Syllabus needs to be updated by Mumbai University, still stuck on old technology and regarding placements more core electronics companies should be called on campus because its very difficult to get job in electronics field of campus, this is the reason why majority of ETRX and EXTC people are working in IT and Software sector.
- 16) Make use of the tools which are used in industry.
- 17) Develop more troubleshooting-based models and tools that will be useful in the industry. For example, I am in core networking domain and in engineering I didn't know how an industry router looks like or what can be done if the router stops giving an IP address. This is like a basic example, and it does help if you have some prior knowledge about this.

(ii) How would you like to contribute to the development of the Institute?

- 1) I would like to share my experiences which I gained in past 1 year.
- 2) I can share some useful resources and guidance through which students can gain skills
- 3) I would like to give guest lectures with respect to finance



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

(iii) Would you recommend Vidyalankar Institute of Technology to other students?

Remarks on Recommendati	No. of Responses out of 46	Percentage
Yes	45	97.82
No	1	2.18

a) List the reason for recommendation

- 1) Value for both studies and extracurricular.
- 2) Very Co-operative teachers supporting for students' growth
- 3) I would recommend VIT because all the faculties are experienced. All the staff, facilities which college provides are good. The teaching-learning process is also good.
- 4) Including studies, students will be able to explore their talents and skills and can work on enhancing them and taking them to the next level so that their personality becomes versatile, provided college also should support students in the hustling process.
- 5) Great professor with full of knowledge
- 6) Quality Education in VIT"
- 7) Good pedagogy skills of teachers, structured infrastructure, good support of materials and study resources.
- 8) Good teachers, excellent infra and decent placements
- 9) Good staff, good college
- 10) One of the best technology institutes in India.
- 11) Good culture, Quality of teaching, Spacious Ground, Amazing college campus.
- 12) Very good infrastructure and facilities, professors are also friendly and helpful academic growth
- 13) Good Coaching
- 14) I like the professors because they provide a good study environment. The students would love to study at Vidyalankar. The staff is also very cooperative.
- 15) Good quality of education with good infrastructure
- 16) Infrastructure Facilities provided to students are good, Professors are good.
- 17) Excellent teaching staff.
- 18) Best professors
- 19) Good Engineering College with all the necessities
- 20) Teaching

b) List the reason for non-recommendation

The fees are on a higher side



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

3. Analysis of Parent Feedback

B: Remarks/Suggestions for overall development of Institute

- 1. Engage students in industrial learning more.
- 2. Food quality must be increased.
- 3. Nothing, everything is good.

Head of the Department



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Biomedical Engineering

1. Students Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

B. Feedback on other aspects

Total No. of response: 29 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr.No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfact ory (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute	0	1	4	11	13	79
2	Classrooms and Laboratory Infrastructure	0	0	1	8	20	97
3	Library and reading room facility	0	0	2	9	18	93
4	Internet and Wi-Fi facility	0	2	9	9	9	55
5	Canteen Facility	0	0	2	11	16	93
6	Sports and Cultural Activities	0	0	5	8	16	83
7	Institute's overall infrastructure	0	0	1	9	19	79

- **1. Parameter No 1:** The students agreed that good placement and career opportunities was provided by the institute.
- **2. Parameter No 2:** The NPS of this parameter is 97. So students agreed the infrastructure and classrooms are of good in the institute.
- 3. Parameter No 3: The library and reading room facility are liked by the students.
- **4. Parameter No 4:** The students did not find the Internet and Wifi facility in the institute to be good.
- **5. Parameter No 5:** The quality of food served at the canteen was found to be good by the students.
- **6. Parameter No 6:** The students found the sports and cultural activities are conducted in the right spirit in the campus.
- 7. Parameter No 7: The students are happy with the institute's overall infrastructure.



2.

PART B: Stakeholders Feedback on Other Aspects

Academic Year: (2021-22)
Programme: Biomedical Engineering

Teachers Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for Curriculum enrichment

- 1) Need to need understand specific Industry Requirement. Curriculum setting shouldn't be done by Academicians.
- 2) More practical approach required
- Projects are getting affected by online mode. Suggested to have some platforms for implementing projects

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

(i) Remarks/suggestions on curriculum enrichment

- 1) It will be good if curriculum focus more on practical knowledge and projects.
- 2) Needs improvement as curriculum though is very limited. The skills I used now were know to me during my education.
- 3) Troubleshooting of medical devices and hands on sessions on it.
- 4) More project based implementation of coding languages needs to be practiced with real world topics/problem statements.
- 5) More simplified way and/or the academic studies should be made. Quite honestly, the language of website and Wikipedia is more simpler than the language of professors and tectbooks.

(ii) How would you like to contribute for development of the Institute?

- 1) In whatever ways the institute wants me to help.
- 2) I can contribute my time for students if they are going forward to choose career in programming, as i am working in Software industry and gave off campus interviews even if my bachelor's degree is non IT. I Can share my experience about what really programming is and how it can be done.
- 3) I can share my experience with the students
- 4) By using social media
- 5) Would like to suggest that signal and control systems should be different subjects completely.
- 6) Writing tips and suggestions for betterment of the institute

(iii) Would you recommend Vidyalankar Institute of Technology to other students?

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 18	Percentage
Yes	18	100
No		



Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Biomedical Engineering

a) List the reason for recommendation

- 1) Professional institute
- 2) Good college
- 3) Atmosphere is good
- 4) Best college ever
- 5) Faculties are amazing for Biomedical
- 6) Helped visualize my career better
- 7) Because the teachers are best
- 8) Dedicated faculty and infrastructure
- 9) Good infrastructure with top faculty members who are highly supportive.
- 10) It would mostly be a no with regards to its fee structure, but above fees, Atleast of biomedical, faculties are quite okay. Always ready to help. Infrastructure made me feel like sit and study in the library.

b) List the reason for non-recommendation

- 1) Less medical devices
- 2) It's fee structure

3. Parents Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

B: Remarks/Suggestions for overall development of Institute

1) The teaching was good

2) More focus on Industry-oriented skill development.

Banizali

Head of the Department



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

1. Student Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

B. Feedback on other aspects

Total No. of response: 37 NPS Threshold for the year 2022: **70**

Sr. No.	Parameters	Poor (0)	Not Quite Satisfact ory (1)	Satisfa ctory (2)	Good (3)	Excellent (4)	NPS
		Detr	actor	Passive	Pro	motor	
1	Rate placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute	02	04	05	19	07	54.05
2	Faculty Support, Guidance and Mentoring	00	01	06	19	11	78.38
3	Online MS team platform for effective teaching learning process	01	00	05	16	15	81.08
4	Online Extra- Curricular and other activities	01	01	07	19	09	70.27
5	Online administrative support from department	01	01	06	18	11	72.97
6	Institute Infrastructure and facilities	00	01	05	19	12	81.08

- 1. Parameter No 1: Many students rated the placement and career opportunities provided by the Institute not quite satisfactory hence the NPS is below threshold
- **2. Parameter No 2:** All the students have rated Faculty Support, Guidance and Mentoring satisfactory
- **3. Parameter No 3:** All the students have rated online MS team platform satisfactory for effective teaching learning process
- **4. Parameter No 4:** Majority of the students rated Online Extra- Curricular and other activities satisfactory
- **5. Parameter No 5:** Majority of the students rated Online administrative support from department satisfactory
- **6. Parameter No 6:** Majority of the students rated Institute Infrastructure and facilities satisfactory.



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

2. Teachers Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for Curriculum enrichment

- Needs to be More Industry Oriented
- There has to be more Industrial Visits, On the job training in Services sector. More exposure should be given to the students based on industry expert through seminars and guest lectures
- There has to be more Industrial Visits, On the job training in Services sector. More exposure should be given to the students based on industry expert through seminars and guest lectures
- The syllabus should be reviewed and discussed with industry often to keep pace with future changes.
- Curriculum should be Industry oriented. More Practical approached should be there towards teaching and learning process.
- More industry interface and exposure required
- More emphasis on case studies, real life situations and the skills required by the global business need to be incorporated.
- Law module need more weightage and therefore it should full credit paper. In all corporate compliance is a major concern.

3. Alumni Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

(i) Remarks/suggestions on curriculum enrichment

- It would be great if there is an extra subject/course related to MS Excel as I noticed it is used everywhere
- The curriculum can be made more practically relatable to the needs of the Industry for each of the respective specializations.
- MMS Library and merging VIT department tech facilities and knowledge
- More practical ways to understand the concepts along with live projects to get students industry ready.
- Keep more practical knowledge
- More Involvement of outside management activities, so students learn more from industry.
- Imbibe more professionalism in students and also bring more companies to place students before their MBA gets over
- Try to include industry ready syllabus
- The best way includes opportunities for personal research, group projects, practical work, creative expression, discussion and brain-storm on current topics relevant to respective management field.
- Digital Marketing Program should be a part of syllabus, now a day's this is most important terminology used in any sector for selling purpose.
- Curriculum must be more practice oriented than reading theoretical paragraphs from books.
- More real life situations & aspects to be considered and focus on application of various theories.



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

(ii) How would you like to contribute for development of the Institute?

- Conducting knowledge-sharing sessions via lectures, virtual meets etc.
- Will contribute whenever required from institute
- Can support the professors on how to operate technology in a better way
- I would like to guide new students With my practical knowledge on stock market, product management, design thinking, UI,UX,
- By using Blended Learning i.e. In addition to chalk and talk method of teaching, by using
 the IT enabled learning tools such as PPT, Video clippings, Audio system, online sources,
 Simulation software, communication lab and decision making field work conference can be
 conducted to expose the students to combine their curriculum knowledge with practical
 learning.
- I can offer guest lecture on practical working experience and challenges faced post passing out from college.

(iii) Would you recommend Vidyalankar Institute of Technology to other students?

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 19	Percentage
Yes	19	100%
No	0	0

a) List the reason for recommendation:

- Good teaching staff
- Vidyalankar Institute of Technology (MMS dept.) has amazing Professors who give their best in delivering the curriculum, the entire team is very supportive, caring and amiable.
- Infrastructure, Knowledgeable staff
- advance tech-based studies facility
- Deep knowledge & vast experience of all the faculty members
- Best Faculty in Mumbai Campus
- Overall Good Management Institute & Coordinating Staff
- Because of the staff, curriculum and great infrastructure
- Visiting faculties, good culture
- Sessions conducted at organization have helped me develop confidence in every field, provided good people skills & managing people at work is made easier with all the learnings received.
- Good Environment, Better Facilities, Supportive Teaching Staff...
- Suitable infrastructure, qualified & professional professors and staff and overall a very healthy educational environment
- Faculty and Management

b) List the reason for non-recommendation

- Placement offers could be better. CTC offered were very less/ equal to graduation CTC
- Kindly add more industry experts



Programme: Masters in Management Studies

4. Parents Feedback Analysis on Other Aspects

Remarks/Suggestions for overall development of Institute

- Everything is good
- Placements

Head of the Department



PART C: Stakeholders Feedback on Remark/Suggestion Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Information Technology

1. Students' Feedback Analysis

C. Remarks/Suggestions

- (i) Any remark or suggestion on curricular Gaps, Content delivery, Value added /Bridge Courses, Placements, Projects etc.
- Give more importance to practical knowledge and make the learning process much easier one
- (ii) Any other Remark/Suggestion

None

(iii) Would you like to recommend Vidyalankar Institute Technology to other students (Yes / No):

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 46	Percentage
Yes	40	86.9%
No	6	13.1%

i) Reason for Recommendation:

- Way better than rest of the Engineering colleges in Mumbai, standards apart, just stop using Marathi unnecessary here & there by the department itself & then by the students.
- It's one of the best colleges in Mumbai, good teachers, good facilities, good infrastructure, good placement, and career opportunities.
- Overall nice institute and teaching also
- Faculty and infrastructure
- Facility, quality study, supportive facilities
- Overall good experience
- Good Placement Opportunities
- Good infrastructure
- Super infra-amazing facilities and top-notch education

ii) Reason for Non-Recommendation

1. More emphasis to be given on practical experience and hands-on.

Head of the Department

PART C: Stakeholder Remarks / Suggestions
Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Computer Engineering

1. Student Feedback Analysis

Any remark or suggestion on curricular Gaps, Content delivery, Value added /Bridge Courses, Placements, Projects etc.

- Focus should be on more practical teaching approach.
- Should update the curriculum according to industry requirements and trends.
- Should focus on hands on and practical implementation of the concepts taught rather than just teaching theories.
- For placement, proper guidance and coding practice can be carried out for students to improve their DSA knowledge which can help to crack good product-based companies."
- Curriculum seems outdated compared to what is needed in the industry.
- Placement, placement procedure and placement activity need improvement.
- Internships should be promoted assertively, and students should be persuaded to actively look for out of campus experience.
- Better way of suggesting placement options other than vlive as not everyone goes on vlive

(i) Any other Remark/Suggestion

• Infrastructure of the college is great

(ii)	Would you like to recommend	Vidyalankar Institute	Technology to	other
	students (Yes / No):			

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 95	Percentage
Yes	89	93.6
No	6	6.3

a) List the reasons for recommendation:

- Experienced Faculty. Great teaching methods.
- Its a good college, good interaction with professors Good teachers.
- Best college in terms of education and faculty.
- Supportive Faculty & Good Infrastructure of college with cleanliness and Hygiene well maintained.
- Professors are warm and supportive and the way they teach the syllabus is excellent.
- Good college.
- Infrastructure.



PART C : Stakeholder Remarks / Suggestions

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Computer Engineering

- Good Faculties and Facilities.
- Good Teaching, Student centric college policy, Improved placements, Helpful and Guiding faculty, Guidance provided for higher studies.
- Good Infrastructure, teaching skills, supporting nature
- Good infrastructure and opportunities for students to grow
- Faculty teaches well
- Good Wokring System...grate Teacher Student Interaction, which is more important
- I'd recommend students to join the CMPN Branch because they'll get an opportunity to learn and will be able to build a robust foundation in core computer engineering subjects, which every computer engineer must know, from the finest teachers in the field like Prof. Amit Nerurkar.
- Best Infrastructure, Facilities & Friendly Faculties.
- It's an excellent blend of career as well as self growth which is pillared by great teaching staff and an absolute professional and friendly environment.

b) List the reasons for non-recommendation

- Placements
- The most important reason is placements, as compared to other college of the same level, placements of our college has been poor.
- "The most important reason is placements, as compared to other college of the same level, placements of our college have been poor. Not even a single company offered 10lpa+ on campus"

Head of the Department



PART C: Stakeholders Feedback on Remarks/Suggestion Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics Engineering

1. Analysis of Students Feedback

C. Remarks/Suggestions

- (i) Any remark or suggestion on curricular Gaps, Content delivery, Value added /Bridge Courses, Placements, Projects etc.
 - If possible, Web development courses should be conducted
 - Give more weightage to practical examination as compared to theory examinations
 - Provide mentors for the preparation of competitive examinations like, GRE, GMAT, GATE, TOFEL for higher studies. Motivate the student to work in core electronic industries.
- (ii) Any other Remark/Suggestion

Nil

(iii) Would you like to recommend Vidyalankar Institute Technology to other students (Yes / No):

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 57	Percentage
Yes	54	94.73
No	03	5.27

a) List the reasons for recommendation:

- Helpful professors and staff.
- Student Friendly environment
- Great Learning experience and extracurricular activities
- Good placement opportunities
- b) List the reasons for non-recommendation

Nil

Head of the Department



PART C: Stakeholders Feedback on Remark/Suggestion Academic Year: (2021-22)

Academic Year: (20 Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

1. Analysis of Student Feedback

(i) Any remark or suggestion on curricular Gaps, Content delivery, Value added /Bridge Courses, Placements, Projects etc.

- 1) Please teach one real life project per semester.
- 2) Project topic selection should be priorly decided in Sem 6 so that students will get more time to implement with new ideas.
- 3) It will be good if student must do final year project in 3rd year because in final year, they can fully focus on their placement. Review process of final year project happens regularly due to which student can't focus on their placement
- 4) "Placements remark-If candidate is selected or not that should be clear. If the company is opting or not for that specific candidate that should be clear and if rejected that particular reason should be mentioned by the placement cell."
- 5) Curricular Gaps: For curricular gaps, whole education system must be changed. Educational institutes must be tied up with the industries where the industries must have the authority to develop the curriculum/syllabus as per current requirements.
- 6) Content Delivery: It would be great if students have the option of choosing online or offline mode.
- 7) Value added/Bridge courses: It would be better if these kinds of courses are developed by industries as per their requirements. It should also be given more emphasis than university curriculum. Ideally, the university curriculum should be these value added/bridge courses.
- 8) Projects: Final year project must be made optional as most of the students decide to change their field after graduation. Also, it would be better if mini projects are introduced in every semester as a part of curriculum where the professors must completely demonstrate the project and students can implement them together with their professor."
- 9) More industry orientated knowledge is required. Extra certifications, industrial training, internships, and field visit should be made compulsory for better development in students.
- 10) Value added courses are nice way of learning new technologies

(ii) Any other Remark/Suggestion

- 1) Sessions on further studies should be added more often
- 2) All professors must be strictly evaluated upon their way of teaching by some experts and/or by the students.
- 3) Review process of final year projects happens regularly due to which students cannot focus on placement



PART C: Stakeholders Feedback on Remark/Suggestion

Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Electronics and Telecommunication Engineering

2) Would you like to recommend Vidyalankar Institute Technology to other students (Yes / No):

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 70	Percentage
Yes	67	95.71
No	3	4.29

a) List the reasons for recommendation:

- 1) Good infrastructure, good faculty.
- 2) Overall good experience & Great faculty
- 3) Overall, it is good compared to other colleges, teachers are friendly and helpful, recently VIT become Autonomous.
- 4) Good college with helpful faculties and quality amenities
- 5) Good for studies
- 6) Good infrastructure quality education and friendly staff
- 7) Very good institution
- 8) Productive learning
- 9) Because it is good in every aspect
- 10) Best coaching for career
- 11) Its infrastructure, facilities, all are too good.
- 12) Excellent teaching staff.
- 13) Very good and helpful faculty...supportive. And I am thankful to EXTC department they all support me each moment. Thank you.
- 14) NAAC A+
- 15) Helping, friendly and supportive faculty, great placements, value added courses and lastly a beautiful campus.
- 16) Best for Engineering along with students friendly.
- 17) Best college.
- 18) Excellent teaching staff
- 19) There are no reasons not to join, placements are good, teachers are very good and the ambience is clean and beautiful
- 20) Overall, one can upgrade their skills and develop themselves as an individual
- 21) It is a good institution with group of teachers and mentors who help to achieve our goals.
- 22) People and staff are polite and respect each other unlike other colleges
- 23) Good faculties that always help whenever needed. Proper management of studies. Provide guidance needed for any sort of work.
- 24) Overall, it's a best college and a best experience.
- 25) All facilities about study.

b) List the reasons for non-recommendation

- 1) less opportunities and not providing guidance towards professional life
- 2) Nothing specific

Head of the Department



PART C: Stakeholders Feedback on Remark/Suggestion Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Biomedical Engineering

1. Analysis of Student Feedback

Any remark or suggestion on curricular Gaps, Content delivery, Value added /Bridge Courses, Placements, Projects etc.

- 1) Increase the no. Of companies hiring Biomedical Engineer for placements
- 2) Good teaching
- (i) Any other Remark/Suggestion
- 1) Thank you
 - (ii) Would you like to recommend Vidyalankar Institute Technology to other students (Yes / No): Yes_____

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 29	Percentage
Yes	29	100
No		

a) List the reasons for recommendation:

- 1) Top college of Mumbai
- 2) Because of all the factors like education, placement, extra-circular activities etc
- 3) One of the best degree colleges in Mumbai, its main pillars are its faculty and excellent teaching environment
- 4) Good atmosphere
- 5) Best environments for to upgrade your self, to learn new thing, know to various opportunity, participate in other activities, good infrastructure and best facilities.
- 6) Co-operative staff
- 7) Excellent college
- 8) The teaching facility is good
- 9) Helpful Professors
- 10) Good teaching.
- 11) Guidance of professors throughout the course and excellent teaching.
- 12) Great Faculty
- 13) One of the topmost college of Mumbai
- 14) I will surely recommend because college has excellent faculty members, beautiful campus, professors are very friendly and are always ready to help students. Overall the college is perfect choice for students who wants to pursue engineering.

a) List the reasons for non-recommendation

1) More fees

Head

Banizell

of the Department



PART C: Stakeholders Feedback on remark/Suggestion Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Masters in Management Studies

1. Analysis of Student Feedback

(i) Any remark or suggestion on curricular Gaps, Content delivery, Value added /Bridge Courses, Placements, Projects etc.

Placements are not up to the mark

Teaching Staff was best, Management & Infrastructure was also good, Creative & Knowledgebuilding projects, little bit disappointment about placements but overall college is very good

(ii) Any other Remark/Suggestion

- Always keep lectures offline. Never ever keep lectures online. This degrades the quality of students, learning and the Institute itself. If there is an event due to which lectures have to be kept online, then don't take admission for that batch.
- There should be cut off of 85% in MMS so that cheap and filthy crowd doesn't enter the college.
- There are many clubs in Engineering section like photography, cultural committee, social service, etc but MMS has only Placement Committee. Pls make various committees which will be beneficial to students as well as college.
- Make MMS section autonomous. Every candidate who wants to take admission here should undergo a compulsory PI. Pls take cream crowd
- Have a dedicated IT team for MMS department

(iii)	Would you like to recommend Vidyalankar Institute Technology to other
	students (Yes / No):

Remarks on Recommendation	No. of Responses out of 37	Percentage
Yes	33	89%
No	04	11%

a) List the reasons for recommendation:

- Infrastructure
- Well experience staff
- Good professors are there
- Good faculty and good campus overall teaching is very good
- Good infrastructure, faculty support
- Experienced professors providing real-life examples and guidance



PART C: Stakeholders Feedback on remark/Suggestion Academic Year: (2021-22)

Programme: Masters in Management Studies

- Very supportive staff
- All faculty are co- operative, supportive
- Good faculty and campus

b) List the reasons for non-recommendation

- Poor placement opportunities
- No good placement opportunities... waste of fees money. No guidance for the covid batch
- Professors are good but not the crowd
- Placement contact and coordinate can be improved
- No placement offers

Head of the Department